Climate Change Impacts on the Pelagic Ecosystem of Southern California: Trophic Level Comparisons and Connections

William J. Sydeman^{1,2}, Sarah Ann Thompson², J. Anthony Koslow¹, Ralf Goericke¹, Marisol Garcia-Reyes², and Mark D. Ohman¹

¹CCE-LTER Project, Scripps Institution of Oceanography ²Farallon Institute for Advanced Ecosystem Research







Anthropogenic Warming - Stratification Hypothesis

Bograd and Lynn (2003)



Questions

as stratification has changed, how have mid and upper trophic levels responded?

Specifically:

- are trends in upper- (seabirds) and mid-trophic levels (icthyoplankton and mesozooplankton) abundance off southern California similar? Can they be related?
- Do patterns of change relate to physical drivers of the ecosystem (i.e., upwelling and stratification)?
- Does unidirectional or cyclic climate change best explain these results?

CalCOFI/CCE LTER study area, 6 Lines, 30°N-35°N (Hydrography – Lines 80 & 90)



Mesozooplankton, Fish, and Seabird Time Series

Seabird Abundance (no. km⁻²) (1987-2011) (visual observations between stations)



Larval Fish Abundance (no. m⁻²) (1951-2008)

Euphausiid Abundance (no. m⁻²) (1951-2011) (Bongo nets)





(ah...yes, these are not larval fish, nor are they anchovies...)

Sectors of the CalCOFI grid summarized for krill (red), fish (black), and seabird (blue) abundance



Fish: Hsieh et al. (2009), Koslow et al. (2011); Krill: Lavaniegos and Ohman (2007)

Trend and Integration Analyses

(1) "nominal" rank correlation (Spearman)

 (2) "nominal" non-linear regression (2nd order) to test for curvature (cyclicity) in trends (4) negative binomial (poisson) regression, detrended variables, to relate seabird abundance to prey abundance, stratification and upwelling..

(3) by season: robustness of trends; lagged effects prey and physics? Caveats: no correction for autocorrelation, gaps in series, seabirds generally do not consume larval fish (index to other age/size classes)

Seabird Abundance by Season



Spring: linear decrease (no quadratic effect in GLM)
Summer: linear decrease (same...)

(x denotes missing survey)

Seabird Abundance by Latitude & Period



> decline in northern (> 32N) sector, across putative "regimes"

Seabird Habitat Use, 1987-1989 to 1990-1998 (shoreward collapse)



Krill Abundance



- E. pacifica (coastal/slope): linear increase
- T. spinifera (coastal-neritic): decrease/increase (significant quad term)
- > *N. simplex* (coastal): increase/decrease (...2 times)





Coastal-Neritic Species (e.g.)

Anchovy



Summary of Pelagic Ecosystem Change

- Upwelling33: cyclic increase/decrease (not shown)
- Thermal Stratification: increase (Bograd and Lynn 2003)
- Small Plankton Volume: decrease (not shown)
- Krill: increase; reorganization (subtropical N. simplex decrease; subarctic T. spinifera increase)
- Fish: coastal/neritic: cyclic with recent decline (e.g. anchovy, note: sardine increase, recovery); oceanic species (mesopelagics): <u>T. Koslow, S8, TH 1710</u>
- Birds: decrease, northern sector, coastal/neritic spp.; shoreward redistribution

Connections?

Stepwise GLM – Effects of Fish on Seabird Abundance

	and the second s			р-
	Predictor Entered	df	Chi ²	value
Spring	and the second second			
Step 1	Area	1	0.00	0.948
2	Date	1	21.65	0.000
3	Stratification, Winter	1	1.15 (1)	0.423
3	Stratification, Spring	1		0.624
4	Upwelling, Winter	1	3.64 (2)	0.068
4	Upwelling, Spring	1	A COMPANY OF A COMPANY	0.348
5	Zooplankton, Line 80	1	10.62 (2)	0.574
5	Zooplankton, Line 90	1		0.571
6	Mesopelagic Fish	1	38.21 (8)	0.830
6	Flatfish	1		0.000
6	Anchovy	1		0.000
6	Hake	1		0.000
6	Sardine	1		0.000
6	Croakers	1		0.000
6	Mackerel	1		0.000
6	Rockfish	1	and the second division of the second divisio	0.000

Some Answers...

- Seasonal trends in bird, fish and plankton abundance?; are they related?
 - Some contrasting trends (krill); few apparent "shifts", curvilinear and linear change mainly.
 - Yes, connected: action in northern, coastalneritic sector for birds and fish
- Do patterns of change relate to trends and variability of the physical environment?
 - Maybe (stratification [AGW] upwelling [cyclic] interaction?)
- Does unidirectional or cyclic climate change best explain these results?
 - Both apparent, but cyclic dominant (upwelling to fish to birds in north, anchovy may be key)...

Conclusion: It's <u>not</u> a question of natural environmental variability versus AGW, but rather how and when they interact with each other to force ecosystem change...



Thanks to NSF/CCE-LTER, NOAA-IOOS/SCCOOS for support