### The effects of horizontal resolution in a Z-coordinate model of the East/Japan Sea

Young Ho Kim and Kuh Kim

OCEAN lab., School of Earth and Environmental Sciences Seoul National University

100

# CONTENTS

- I. Introduction
- II. Model Description
- III. Model Results
- IV. Application of the model results to implicit formula of separation
- V. Summary and Conclusion



# **Two Subjects**

- Branching of the Tsushima Warm Current
  - A single meandering (Moriyasu(1972))
  - The branching path and the simgle meandering path alternatively (Naganuma, 1973; 1977; 1985)
  - Two Branches (Yoon, 1982; 1991); Yarichin(1980)
  - Three Branches
    - Nearshore Branch, East Korean Warm Current and Offshore Branch Naganuma(1997)

### **Branching of the Tsushima Warm Current(1)**



Schematic patterns of annual mean surface circulation, Yarichin(1980)

### **Branching of the Tsushima Warm Current(2)**



Schematic view of Tsushima Warm Current system Hase(1999)

Trajectories of US Navy and WOCE/SVP drifters, Lee(1997)

### **Branching of the Tsushima Warm Current(3)**





Schematic patterns of surface circulation in summer by Naganuma(1997)

Schematic patterns of surface circulation by Yoon and Kawamura(2002)



# **Two Subjects**

- Branching of the Tsushima Warm Current
  - A single meandering (Moriyasu(1972))
  - The branching path and the simgle meandering path alternatively (Naganuma, 1973; 1977; 1985)
  - Two Branches (Yoon, 1982; 1991)
  - Three Branches
    - Nearshore Branch, East Korean Warm Current and Offshore Branch (Hase, 1991)
- Separation of the EKWC
  - Thermal forcing (Seung and Kim, 1989)
  - Positive windstress curl (Seung, 1992)
  - Interaction between eddy and topography(Holloway, 1995)
  - Topographic effect (Hogan et. al., 2000)
  - Due to Coastline Curvature (Lee, 1999)

### Current Observation, May of 1999 near the Donghae City





### Hydrography Section of C line, May of 1999



Implicit Formula for Boundary Current Separation (Marshall and Tansley, 2001)

Separation was always coincident with rapid deceleration of t boundary current (Haidvogel et al., 1992) !!

$$\nabla \cdot (\zeta \vec{u}) + \vec{u} \cdot \nabla f = \frac{f}{h} \vec{u} \cdot \nabla h + \frac{1}{\rho} \nabla \times \frac{\tau}{H}$$



Where h is isopycnal thickness and  $\zeta$ , relative vorticity

$$\zeta = \frac{v}{R} - \frac{\partial v}{\partial n}$$
 R is the radius of curvature of the streamlines

### The Formula is



#### **Model Description**

# Horizontal Domain (127.5 ~ 142.5 °E, 33.0 ~ 52.0 °N) 42 vertical cells



- Based on GFDL MOM3
  - Z-coordinate level model
  - Parallel Processing (MPI)
- Thermal forcing Bulk Formula calculated from NCEP Reanalysis
- Features
  - Explicit free surface
  - Smagorinsky SGS for momentum
  - Gent-McWilliam Isoneutral SGS for tracers
  - KPP Vertical SGS
    Parameterizaton
  - Partial cell
  - Free Surface Open boundary Condition

### **Model Equations**

$$u_{t} = -\nabla \cdot (u\vec{u}) + v \left( f + \frac{u \tan \phi}{a} \right) - \left( \frac{1}{a\rho_{0} \cos \phi} \right) p_{\lambda}$$
$$+ (\kappa_{m}u_{z})_{z} + F^{u}$$
$$v_{t} = -\nabla \cdot (v\vec{u}) - u \left( f + \frac{u \tan \phi}{a} \right) - \left( \frac{1}{a\rho_{0}} \right) p_{\phi}$$
$$+ (\kappa_{m}v_{z})_{z} + F^{v}$$
Momenturm eq.

$$w_z = -\nabla_h \cdot \vec{u}_h$$
Continuity eq. $\theta_t = -\nabla \cdot [\vec{u}\theta + F(\theta)]$ Eq. of state $s_t = -\nabla \cdot [\vec{u}s + F(s)]$ Eq. of state $\rho = \rho(\theta, s, z)$ Boussinesq approx. $p_z = -\rho g$ Hydrostatic approx.

### **Bulk Formula**

$$Q_{net} = Q_{sw} - (Q_{sen} + Q_{lat} + Q_{lw})$$

 $Q_{sw}$ : Net downward shortwave radiation.

$$Q_{sen} = \rho_a C_p^a C_h W_{10} (T_a - \theta_1)$$

$$Q_{lat} = \rho_a L_e C_E W_{10} (q_a - q_1)$$

$$Q_{lw} = -\varepsilon \sigma_{SB} \left\{ T_a^4 \left[ 0.39 - 0.05 (e_a)^{0.5} \right] F(c) + 4 T_a^3 (\theta_1 - T_a) \right\}$$

### Three Experiments

Experiment	Wind stress	Heat Flux	Lateral Boundary	Model Horizontal Resolution	Horizontal Viscosity
ER_CL_B	ERA15 Climatology	Bulk Formula	Closed	0.1° × 0.1 °	O(10 <sup>6</sup> ) cm <sup>2</sup> /sec
ER_OP_C	ERA15 Climatology	Bulk Formula	Open	0.1° × 0.1 °	O(10 <sup>6</sup> ) cm <sup>2</sup> /sec
ER_OP_F	ERA15 Climatology	Bulk Formula	Open	0.06~0.1° × 0.1°	O(10 <sup>5</sup> ) cm <sup>2</sup> /sec





### Model Description Open Boundary Condition











#### Surface Current and Temperature



#### Salinity and Current Sections along 38°N

**Mixed Layer Depth in Winter** 







#### Surface Current and Temperature in each month

#### Implicit Formula



#### Implicit Formula











# Summary and Conclusion

Two models with Open Boundary Condition resolve the Nearshore Branch(First branch) and the EKWC(Second branch). But, in both of them, the EKWC overshoots.

Fine grid model with small viscosity coefficient resolves the third branch of the Tsushima Warm Current and the separation point of the EKWC moves to southward rather than in the coarse grid model.

For the dynamics of the third branch of the TWC, beta effect and coastline curvature are important.

 $\geq$  The positive wind stress curl near the Wonsan Bay enhances the separation of the EKWC.

#### Wind stress curl in winter



Wind stress Curl(10<sup>-8</sup> dyne/cm<sup>2</sup>) in winter (Dec. to Feb.) averaged for 6 years from 1992 to 1997 (ECMWF), Yoon(2002)