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Policy arena

Management for European seas required to meet aims of policy:
1. Common Fisheries Policy (CFP; EC 2013)

Objectives:

1) to achieve maximum sustainable yield for all commercial species by 2020

2) to achieve Good Environmental Status (GES) of marine waters by 2020
Climate is explicitly mentioned ...

MSFD Descriptor 1: Biodiversity
“The quality and occurrence of habitats and the distribution and abundance of species are in line with prevailing physiographic, geographic and climatic conditions.”

MSFD Descriptor 4: Food Webs
“All elements of the marine food webs, to the extent that they are known, occur at normal abundance and diversity and levels capable of ensuring the long-term abundance of the species and the retention of their full reproductive capacity”
Activity (fishing effort)
→ Pressure (fishing mortality)
→ Species indicator (stock biomass)
→ Community indicator (in line with prevailing climatic conditions)

Additional management for GES?

Fisheries management
Fisheries and Environmental objectives

CFP: Fisheries management have adopted maximum sustainable yield (MSY) targets for fishing mortality on commercial species.

MSFD: GES by 2020, assessment based on ecological indicators, including indicators of Biodiversity and Food webs.

Q. How might fisheries management measures contribute towards the attainment of GES?

Q. How important are the prevailing climatic conditions?

Which ecological indicators respond to fishing pressure?
What management measures might alter fishing pressure?
Will climate change mean that we can not meet our aims?
MSFD state indicators

Considered by OSPAR and ICES responsive to fisheries impacts:

Food webs
- Mean trophic level of surveyed species
- Abundance of trophic guilds (piscivores, planktivores, benthivores, benthopiscivores)
- Large Fish Indicator (all fish and elasmobranches)

Biodiversity
- Large Fish Indicator (demersal fish and elasmobranches)
- Mean Maximum Length of demersal fish and elasmobranches
- ICES (2012) approved “key-run” of North Sea model
- Driven by time series of fishing mortality for assessed stocks and fishing effort for 8 fleets

Includes environmental drivers
Direct forcing of phytoplankton
Direct forcing on some fish (e.g. cod) following literature
Indirect effects on higher levels [see Mackinson (2013)]
Scenario developments

Baseline scenario (status quo)

ICES key-run of EwE model for 1991-2007

EwE simulations 2008 to 2020

Fleet effort and fishing mortalities fixed at 2007 values

+ fixed climate

+ varying climate
Benefit of the optimisation approach is that we final a single set of effort for 3 fleets (using ICES $F$ targets for 8 stocks).

Incorporating the effort strategy in EwE we can model the expected $F$ on 43 species in a consistent way.
Total biomass of system follows temperature trajectory

Scenario
- Baseline fishing and constant climate
- Optimised fishing strategy with constant climate
- Baseline fishing plus climate scenario
- Optimised fishing plus climate scenario
Temperature – little effect on fish?

Total biomass of fish less sensitive than biomass of entire system
Yet small change in trophic level...

Biomass of fish and elasmobranchs

Trophic level of fish and elasmobranchs

- Baseline fishing and constant climate
- Optimised fishing strategy with constant climate
- Baseline fishing plus climate scenario
- Optimised fishing plus climate scenario
- hindcast period
- Survey
ΔF by species

Without change in climate

Winners and losers
decreases in F or no change for every group

% change in biomass

ΔF
ΔF and ΔSST

With variability in climate

Losers worse off
decreases in F or no change for every group
ΔF and ΔSST: food webs

Biomass by trophic guild

- Survey

- Baseline fishing and constant climate
- Optimised fishing strategy with constant climate
- Baseline fishing plus climate scenario
- Optimised fishing plus climate scenario
**ΔF and ΔSST: biodiversity**

Size structure indicators for demersal guild

- **Survey**
- **Baseline fishing and constant climate**
- **Optimised fishing strategy with constant climate**
- **Baseline fishing plus climate scenario**
- **Optimised fishing plus climate scenario**

---

**Mean Maximum Length**

**Large Species Indicator** (demersal fish and elasmobranches)
ΔF and ΔSST: food webs

Size structure indicators for all species

Less sensitive to climate than the demersal guild

Survey

- Baseline fishing and constant climate
- Optimised fishing strategy with constant climate
- Baseline fishing plus climate scenario
- Optimised fishing plus climate scenario
Reduced fishing effort will lead to increases in

- size-based indicators and
- biomasses of piscivores, planktivores and benthivores
- *however, predation by piscivores will depress benthopiscivore biomass*

- Fisheries management measures will contribute to improvements in the biodiversity of the fish community, but food web interactions will mediate changes
Climate warming may

- increase indicators of size and trophic level
- increase the biomass of planktivores and benthivores
- decrease the biomass of piscivores

- Community indicators are less sensitive to climate as the number of species included in the indicator increase
Implications

- Targets for ecological indicators + targets for fishing mortality (revised to reflect fleet/species interactions) must be set in a coherent manner.

- Climate change must be considered such that management targets set are achievable.