Altimetry helps to explain patchy changes in repeat hydrography carbon measurements
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Are “climate modes” useful for understanding observed variations in ocean carbon?

Recently connecting flux anomalies to “climate modes” has come into vogue (ENSO, PDO, SAM);

Ocean carbon research to date has largely focused on air-sea fluxes of CO₂ (very little work on subsurface DIC distribution)

Model air-sea fluxes are typically regressed against favourite climate index, giving characteristic pattern
Underlying (but unspoken) assumptions with “modes”:

(1) Carbon (fluxes and sub-surface distribution) “follows” dynamics

(2) As with SST, CO₂ fluxes have a limited number of degrees of freedom, and thus global fluxes can be largely captured by a superposition of mode-response functions

But “modes” are not mechanisms!!!
Can planetary waves help to understand the ocean carbon cycle? (baroclinic Rossby and Kelvin waves)

This will be addressed through the analysis of measurements which occur on a timescale (6 months-2 years) significantly shorter than the sampling frequency of Repeat Hydrography (7-10 years)


**Sabine et al. [2006]:** Decadal changes in carbon concentrations Reveal “patchy” structures with Repeat Hydrography

What is driving these changes? Need to understand natural variability in ocean carbon… Not simply isopycnal heaving

Aliasing in snapshot sampling “complicates” interpretation of time-evolving ocean inventory of anthropogenic carbon

Fig. 3.13. Changes in (a) DIC (µmol kg$^{-1}$) and (b) AGU (µmol kg$^{-1}$) in the upper 2000 m between the 2003 and the 1993 occupations of A16N. Positive values are an increase in concentrations between 1993 and 2003 (modified from Feely et al. 2005).
Mechanisms could include (certainly not mutually exclusive!):

(a) Local variations in biology
(b) Variations in non-local subduction
(d) Mesoscale eddies
(d) Effect of planetary waves on frontal positions etc.

Using a perturbation expansion of the advection-diffusion equation for variations in carbon, and then integrating this in the vertical:

\[ \frac{\partial C'}{\partial t} = -\bar{u} \nabla' C' - u' \nabla \bar{C} + \nabla K \nabla C' + (BIO)' \]

Can we identify from observations when we expect the 2nd term on the RHS to become important? In other words, when is:

\[ \bar{u} \nabla C' \approx u' \nabla \bar{C} \]
Vertical integrals of dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC) and density over upper 1km: (taken from GLODAP and WOA05 climatologies)

\[ u' \nabla C' \]

\[ \overline{u} \nabla C' \]

Seeing as \[ \nabla C'/\nabla C \approx 1/10 \]

One should expect natural variability to be important for detection when:

\[ u' \approx (1/10)\overline{u} \]
Want to test mechanism in regions where there are repeat measurements on timescales of seasons to 1-2 years

As SSH reflects vertical integral of density changes, it is appropriate to compare with tracer Inventory changes (over upper 1km).

Changes in measured column inventory of DIC (black, mol/m²), O₂ (green, mol/m²), and SSH (red, cm) from TOPEX altimetry data along 80°E (I8N) between March 1995 and September 1995.

Clearly DIC (and O₂) inventory changes are closely related to SSH changes!

Invoking non-local subduction changes as driver would require that correspondence between ΔSSH and ΔDIC is coincidence.
Case (B): Changes in SSH and CARBON in North Atlantic

Changes in Sea surface Height (SSH) from TOPEX between June 2002 and June 2004, with cruise track for “repeat section” OVIDE overlain in green ($\Delta$SSH in cm)

June 2002 anomaly

June 2004 anomaly
SSH changes are NOT (!!!) reflected in DIC inventory changes, but they are correlated with O2 changes.

In fact consistent with what we saw earlier for “mean state” with DIC and O2; invoking “non-local” subduction changes would again require that there be a coincidence between SSH and O2 changes.

Case (B): Changes in SSH and CARBON in North Atlantic
Interpretation of short timescale variability:

- Comparison of remotely-sensed SSH with carbon inventories support hypothesis that “patchiness” in repeat measurements is driven by planetary waves
- Bad news: For detection, potentially significant aliasing problems with omnipresent Rossby waves and eddies
- Good news: remotely sensed SSH has potential to help deconvolve natural carbon variability and anthropogenic carbon transient from Repeat Hydrography
Models are consistent with data in that natural background variability of DIC inventories is of same order as anthropogenic signal as it evolves over decades.
Correlation between detrended monthly anomalies of SSH and natural DIC inventories over 1990-2003 for ORCA2-PISCES model (2° resolution)
Conclusions

Planetary waves (Rossby waves) drive considerable natural variability in upper ocean DIC inventories.

Nearly continuous TOPEX altimetry data can be used to help in the interpretation of Repeat Hydrography data.

This can serve as a complement to empirically-based MLR methods for detection of anthropogenic DIC.