Food-web structure and dynamics in the frontal zone of Kuroshio Extension

H. Saito, Y. Nishibe, K. Hidaka. T. Ichikawa, S. Kakehi, M. Nakamachi, Y. Okazaki (Fisheries Research Agency)

- K. Takahashi, K. Furuya, K. Hamasaki, Y. Nishibe, Y. Tada (Univ. of Tokyo)
- M. Ichinomiya (Pref. Univ. of Kumamoto)





Japanese Integrated Marine Biogeochemistr and Ecosystem Research

Kuroshio Extension



Qiu et al. 2006







POMAL

Kuroshio Extension Region (KEX)







Significant correlation between sardine RPS (recruitment per spawner) and MLD or SST



(Nishikawa, Yasuda & Itoh, FO, 2011)





In spite of the importance in the food-web dynamics at the frontal region of KEX especially considering the fish stock fluctuation, BGC, ecosystem components and their physiological characteristics have been seriously understudied.

In the SUPRFISH programme, we carried out comprehensive ecosystem study to understand the ecosystem components, structure and the control factors of food-web dynamics in the KEX ecosystem in spring.

SUPRFISH is a part of POMAL project funded by AFFRC, Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries, Japan







N Inventory in KEX axis (April 10 m, mmol m⁻³)







N Inventory in KEX axis (May 10 m, mmol m⁻³)







N Inventory South of KEX axis-May







Phytoplankton community structure

Blooming period in the KEX and northern KEX







Phytoplankton community structure







Primary production Bacterial vs Phytoplankton ratio

>1 indicate lateral DOM flux from external region

Cruise	Station	Bacterial production integrated (mgC m ⁻² d ⁻¹)	Primary production integrated (mgC m ⁻² d ⁻¹)	B:P production integrated
WK0804	KE1-11	460	444	1.04
	KE1-12	789	356	2.22
	KE2-13	421	483	0.87
WK0805	KE3-7	170	146	1.17
	F1	152	234	0.65

Suggesting KEX ecosystem is partly supported by the 1er production of up stream region





Bacterial growth vs mortality







Mirozooplankton (10-200 µm)



Others

Radiolaria Foraminifera

Dinoflagellates (autotrouph, mixotrouph, heterotrough) Thecate



10-20μm Prorocentrum sp. > 20µm Protoperidinium

> 20µm *Ceratium* 10-20μm Gymnodinium

> 20µm Gyrodinium Gymnodinium





Microzooplankton (mg C m⁻³)







Dinoflagellates Ciliates Fraction in microzoopl. 1.0 1.0 0.8 0.8 0.6 0.6 0.4 0.4 0.2 0.2 0.0 0.0 20 0 40 20 40 0

Microzooplankton biomass (µg C l⁻¹)





KEX Copepods Community

Grouping by means of Bray-Curtis index

Oithonidae \mathbb{N} Paracalanus parvus s.l. Clausocalanidae Oncaeidae **Oithona similis** \mathbb{N} Paracalanidae Oithona nana Calocalanus spp. **Ctenocalanus vanus** Mecynocera clausi Metridia sp. Neocalanus plumchrus Ш **Pseudocalanus** others







KEX Copepods Community

Grouping by means of Bray-Curtis index



Oithonidae Paracalanus parvus s.l. Clausocalanidae Oncaeidae Oithona similis Paracalanidae Oithona nana Calocalanus spp. Ctenocalanus vanus Mecynocera clausi Metridia sp. Neocalanus plumchrus Pseudocalanus others

 \sim

 \square

After nutrient depletion





Oncaea venusta and O. Media

Faecal pellet production and ingestion rate on discarded appendicularian house





Weight specific egg production rate







Prey size of Tunicates & Copepod





Grazing pressure of appendicularians

Station	Ingestion	abundance	comm. ingestion	0-50m Chl. a	% ingestion
	(µg chl ind-1 d-1)	(inds. m−2)	(mg chl m-2 d-1)	(mg m-2)	
KE1-11	0.17	15208	2.62	37.09	7.1
KE1-12	0.15	20000	3.08	28.40	10.8
KE2-13	0.64	45440	29.30	30.83	95.0
KE3-7	0.17	79272	13.31	37.85	35.2
KE3-8	0.39	20275	7.86	37.61	20.9





Ecological function of appendicularian

High grazing pressure on phytoplankton and the production of sticky houses indicate appendicularians role of repackaging and gathering small non-sinking particles which are not transported to fish through grazing food chain (*Paracalanus*).

Supporting the production of *Oncaea*, important prey for juvenile fish in the KEX.

Appendicularians transfer nano- picophytoplankton production to *Oncaea*. Increasing the ecological transfer efficiency of microbial production which are dominant in the KEX ecosystem.





Ecosystem succession in the KEX



Conclusion

- Chlorophyte, cryptophyte and prasiophyte are dominant phytoplankton at pre- and mid-bloom period. *Synechococcus* is dominant after nutrient depletion
- Bacterial biomass and production is equivalent to or higher than those of phytoplankton, partly dependent on the production out of KEX
- Ciliates and dinoflagellates are dominant components in microzooplankton. Ciliates increase with phytoplankton biomass but this tendency is not clear for dinoflagellates.
- Appendicularians play unique role of transferring nano- and picophytoplankton production and detritus to fish larvae through supplying discarded house to *Oncaea* spp.
- Detritivorous (Oncaea) and carnivorous (Corycaeus, Sapphlina) copepods are suggested to be important prey for larval/juvenile fish after the decrease of calanoid copepods (Paracalanus, Clausocalanus, Calanus).
- Various food-web processes support larval and juvenile fish production in the KEX



